

Impact of Technology on Future Defense

F. L. Fernandez

Report Documentation Page				
Report Date 26032001	Report Type N/A	Dates Covered (from to)		
Title and Subtitle Impact of Technology on Future Defense		Contract Number		
		Grant Number		
		Program Element Number		
Author(s) Fernandez, F. L.		Project Number		
		Task Number		
		Work Unit Number		
Performing Organization Name(s) and Address(es) DARPA		Performing Organization Report Number		
Sponsoring/Monitoring Agency Name(s) and Address(es) NDIA (National Defense Industrial Association 2111 Wilson Blvd., Ste. 400 Arlington, VA 22201-3061		Sponsor/Monitor's Acronym(s)		
		Sponsor/Monitor's Report Number(s)		
Distribution/Availability Approved for public releas				
Supplementary Notes Proceedings from National 26-30 March 2001 sponsor		olicy: Acquisition, Research, Test and Evaluation,		
Abstract				
Subject Terms				
Report Classification unclassified		Classification of this page unclassified		
Classification of Abstract unclassified		Limitation of Abstract UU		
Number of Pages 15				

Outline



- Describe DARPA and its technology investments
 - Major focus areas
 - Budget details
 - DARPA process
- Suggest a major change in overall science and technology investment and management paradigm

Chain of Command



SECRETARY OF DEFENSE

UNDER SECRETARY OF DEFENSE (ACQUISITION, TECHNOLOGY & LOGISTICS)

DIRECTOR, DEFENSE RESEARCH & ENGINEERING

DIRECTOR, DEFENSE ADVANCED RESEARCH PROJECTS AGENCY

DARPA Mission



Change Leader for the Department of Defense

- Solve National-level problems
- Enable Operational Dominance
- High-Risk, High-Payoff Technology
 Development and Exploitation

DARPA's Role



DARPA

Bottom-up, opportunity, event-driven

Great process flexibility

Integrated research

Radical change

Central DoD agency for R&D

Planned product obsolescence

FY01 funding (\$2.0B) is 22% of all S&T funding

SERVICE R&D

Top-down, requirement, schedule-driven

Highly formalized processes

★ 6.1 - 6.5 research separated

Reliable, sustainable gains

★ Support Service mission

Planned product improvement

FY01 funding (\$5.2B) is 57% of all S&T funding

The DoD requires both radical innovation and requirements-based R&D

 \Rightarrow

Some Current Focus Areas



	•••		
National-Level Problems	<u>292.0</u>	<u>14%</u>	
 Protection from Biological Attack 		9%	
• Protection from Information Attack		5%	
Operational Dominance	<u>819.9</u>	41%	
Affordable, Precision Moving Target K	ill .	7%	
 Offensive and defensive 			
 Dynamic Command & Control 		11%	
Mobile Networks			
- Near-Real-Time Planning, Replanning			
• Future Warfare Concepts		23%	
 Hard and Deeply Buried Target Classi 	fication		
 Combined Manned, Unmanned Opera 	tions		
■ UCAV (AF, N); FCS (Army)			

Some Current Focus Areas Continued



High-Risk, High Payoff Technol	ogy	
Exploitation	<u>784.7</u>	<u>39%</u>
 Information Systems 		12%
• Electronic Systems		10%
• MEMS		3%
 Materials Technology 		10%
Beyond Silicon CMOS/Biology I	ntegration	4%

Budget Details



- National-level and high-risk technologies work represents 53% of DARPA's budget for FY01
- DARPA's work on national-level problems and highrisk technologies constitutes critical fractions of DoD's total S&T expenditures:

For example:

• Chemical/Biological Defen	se 53%
• Information Systems /Tech	nology 43%
 Sensors and Electronics 	39%
Materials/Processes	30%

• 41% of DARPA's budget is directly devoted to military operations

Focus Area Identification



Three strategic areas have been identified:

- National-Level Problems
- Operational Dominance
- Technology Exploitation

Based on technical input, DARPA Management determines the focus areas that DARPA should address.

Sources of expertise include:

Operational Dominance	National-Level Problems	<u>Technology Exploitation</u>
CINC Recommendations	Defense Planning Guidance	Defense Science Board
Individual Svc Leadership	Defense Science Board	JASONs
Defense Science Board	Security Agencies	Univ. Research Results
Defense Planning Guidance		Nat'l Acad of Sciences
		Office of S & T Policy

Program Selection



- DARPA's primary mission is to effect Revolutionary Change
- Applications or extensions of existing technology are rarely if ever approved for DARPA funding
- There is no set funding level or percentage for any focus area
- DARPA programming is bottom-up
- DARPA Management evaluates:
 - Program goals and objectives
 - Program structure and content
 - Whether a program concept represents a Revolutionary versus Evolutionary change

Fundamental Changes



- Proliferation of previously controlled technologies
 - Probable deployment of missile defense capability
- Global emergence of new, threatening technologies
 - DoD S&T must catch-up to rest of world
 - DoD S&T must interface with existing civilian infrastructure
- Global availability of technology for command & control infrastructure
 - DoD S&T must react to unanticipated developments

Many of the technologies that can deal with these changes are not controlled by DoD nor can DoD manage the pace of development

Current Science & Technology Process



- DoD S&T investment and management is focused inward
 - Policy and planning primarily oriented towards internally subsidized investments
 - Presumes knowledge and control of all critical technologies
 - Sub-critically funded
 - Budget constraints often result in "PowerPoint S&T programs"
 - Limited intellectual gene pool

Fragmented, stove-piped S&T investment and management paradigm wastes already scarce resources

A Proposed S&T Investment Strategy



- DoD cannot expect to
 - Completely control where, when and how future defense critical technologies will emerge
 - Have ample warning of the application of emerging technologies to national security threats
- DoD must
 - Understand global technologies
 - Move faster than our enemies to exploit opportunities and counter threats
- DoD S&T must
 - Advance military-unique technology to maintain excellence
 - Provide global understanding and rapidly react to unanticipated change

DoD S&T is at the intersection of military-unique and other global technology changes, with investment resources to provide access to these changes

DoD needs a Chief Technology Officer!



- Exceptional grasp of global technology
- Has direction authority over all DoD S&T resources
- Is Secretary's focal point for technologies needed to enable force transformation
- Is major interface with JCS on technical matters
- Is major DoD interface with other Federal, state and local agencies
- Advises Secretary of emerging technologies and policies, plans and programs to use S&T resources to leverage these technologies

DoD CTO will elevate importance of S&T and attract top-notch people to public service

Summary



- Major changes in national security environment will be driven by globally available technology
- These require a major change in the DoD S&T investment and management model
 - Focus on both requirements and technology opportunity
 - Elevate role of technology in DoD
- DARPA can help lead this change